

THAMES CROSSING ACTION GROUP

www.thamescrossingactiongroup.com

East Anglia GREEN Consultation

Thames Crossing Action Group represents those who are opposed to the hugely destructive and harmful £8.2bn proposed Lower Thames Crossing.

We are responding to this East Anglia GREEN Consultation as we have concerns about the overlap between the East Anglia GREEN proposals with the Lower Thames Crossing proposals.

We would like to provide further considered meaningful comment, but sadly the lack of adequate, clear and informative material in this consultation prevents us from being able to do so.

From the very basic info provided, and the very brief response we received when we emailed for more detail in regard to how the two projects sit side by side, it appears to us that there is conflict between the two projects.

We believe that especially to the southern end of your proposals as the purple swathe reaches closer towards Tilbury there would be a definite overlap of the projects.

We have been informed that your project is in early stages and that you feel that you are in discussions with National Highways regarding the proposed Lower Thames Crossing plan, but you have provided nowhere near adequate information in regards to this aspect.

We can see no detail of other options considered for your proposals. You seem to be attempting to push ahead your preferred option, which is not conducive to adequate consultation, we need and deserve some real options to consider and comment on.

We cannot even see a clear case for this proposal has been provided.

We are greatly aware and concerned about the scale of the utilities works, including changes to electricity pylons and lines in regard to the proposed Lower Thames Crossing. It seems to us that National Highways are proposing spending huge amounts of taxpayers' money on the utility works for the Lower Thames Crossing project, and then you could potentially be coming along to redo the works for your own proposals. We find this to be unacceptable and a waste of taxpayers' money.

It is impossible to comment further to any extent as your consultation materials are so greatly lacking.

We oppose your proposal on principal that adequate info has not been provided and due to our concerns over the clash and impacts of both projects to our area.